

## (ANNEXURE-V)

## SCORING MODEL FOR EVALUATION OF TENDERS

Sr. No.	Criteria		Score
<b>PART A</b>			
<b>1.1</b>	<b>Technical Capability</b>		<b>20</b>
<b>1.1.1</b>	<b>Legal Structure</b>		<b>2</b>
	Private/Public Limited		2
	Partnership/propriety		1
<b>1.1.2</b>	<b>Work Experience in the field of Supply and Fixing of Carpet</b>		<b>10</b>
	> 15 years		10
	>=10 - 15 years		6
<b>1.1.3</b>	<b>Qualification of site in-charge of proposed work (Interior Designing / Architecture/ Carpet Technology, etc.)</b>		<b>8</b>
	Graduation		8
	Diploma		5
	Certificate Course		2
<b>1.2</b>	<b>Financial Capability</b>		<b>15</b>
<b>1.2.1</b>	<b>Maximum single work done by the bidder supplying and fixing of Carpet during the last 5 Financial Years</b>		
(i)	> 20 lakh		5
(ii)	>=15 to 20 lakh		3
(iii)	< 15 lakh		2
<b>1.2.2</b>	<b>The average Financial turnover of the work handled by the Manufacturer/Authorized dealers/Interior Decorators for the last 5 Financial Years</b>		
(i)	> 20 lakh		10
(ii)	>10 to 20 lakh		5
(iii)	>5 Lakh to 10 lakh		2

<b>1.3</b>	<b>Specific Capability / Experience of the Bidder relevant to the assignment</b>		<b>15</b>
<b>1.3.1</b>	No of Assignments in Public/private institution (with more than 420.00 Sq.mt.) completed in India in last 5 years year (as on date of bid submission)		<b>10</b>
	>20		10
	<= 15 to 10		5
	< 10		2
<b>1.3.2</b>	Strength of the staff on payroll engaged for supplying & Fixing of Carpet in India ( <b>Proof to be submitted</b> )		<b>5</b>
	> = 5		5
	< 5		1
<b>Part B</b>			
<b>2</b>	<b>Approach and Methodology</b>		<b>50</b>
<b>2.1</b>	<b>Details of Methodology and Approach during presentation</b>		<b>50</b>
	<p>a. Quality and content of the presentation shall cover the actual design/layout proposed for supplying &amp; laying of the carpet in the conference Room ( as per the quoted price bid). Sample Carpet proposed for supplying &amp; Laying shall be brought at the time of presentation.</p> <p>b. Bidders are requested to present the design of the layout of carpet proposed for supplying &amp; Fixing in the conference room having at-least 2 color combination of the carpet as per the specifications detailed in price bid.</p> <p>c. The marks for Approach and Methodology will be given by the Committee based on detailed layout design presented as per the requirement of the NABARD submitted by the bidders.</p>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Total Score</b>		<b>100</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Awarded Marks after Technical evaluation</b>		



<b>5</b>	<b>Qualifying Marks shall be 50% marks in each part A and Part B for considering opening of Price Bid. First Part A shall be scrutinized. Whoever, qualify in Part A (more than 50%), will be considered for part B. Bidder, less than 50% marks in either part A or B shall be considered ineligible subject to any modifications, if any.</b>
----------	---

## **Evaluation of Bids**

### **Technical Evaluation**

1. Detailed technical evaluation shall be carried out along with other conditions in the tender document to determine the substantial responsiveness of each tender. For this clause, the substantially responsive bid is one that conforms to all the eligibility and terms and condition of the tender without any material deviation.
2. The evaluation committee may call the responsive bidder(s) who comply with all terms and conditions of the tender for discussion and presentation to facilitate and assess their understanding of the scope of work and its execution. The bidder should give a detailed presentation with layout design and color as per the site on how their Carpet is best suited for the **NABARD**. However, the committee shall have sole discretion to call for discussion / presentation.
3. The bidder may propose options for Carpet types in NABARD Head Office in the Tender Documents as per suitable process. The solution quality of the optional items would also be considered for evaluating the technical bid.


### **Financial Evaluation**

The Price bid of those Bidders who have been found to be technically eligible will be opened. The Price bids of ineligible bidders will not be opened.

The Price bids shall be opened in the presence of representatives of technically eligible Bidders, who may like to be present. **NABARD** shall inform the date, place and time for opening of the Price bid.

### **Evaluation and Comparison of Bids**

**60% weightage will be awarded for Technical Evaluation and 40% weightage will be awarded for Financial Evaluation.**



Technical Bid will be assigned a **Technical score (Ts)** out of a maximum of 100 points, as per the Scoring Model provided in the previous section.

The commercial scores would be normalized on a scale of 100, with lowest score being normalized to 100 and the rest being awarded on a pro-rata basis. Such normalized scores would be considered for the purpose of QCBS based evaluation, explained in section below.

### **Final Evaluation Criteria - Quality and Cost Based Selection (QCBS)**

The individual Bidder's commercial scores (CS) are normalized as per the formula given below :

Ts = Technical score

$F_n = F_{min} / F_b * 100$  (rounded off to 2 decimal places)

Where, **F<sub>n</sub>** = Normalized commercial score for the Bidder under consideration

**F<sub>b</sub>**= Absolute financial quote for the Bidder under consideration

**F<sub>min</sub>** = Minimum absolute financial quote of the bidder eligible for opening of price bid

**Composite Score (S) = Ts \* 0.60 + F<sub>n</sub> \* 0.40**

NABARD reserves the right to award / reject the Contract to the bidder with the highest Composite Score(S) after acceptance of tender.

