Pre-Bid Queries and Replies				
S. No.	RFE Clause	Query	Response	
		Scope of Work	T	
		 Request to Clarify - Whether the proposed FPO Lending Assessment Score will also incorporate thin-file / marginalized-farmer parameters — such as socio- economic, behavioral, or psychometric indicators—in line with NABARD's financial- inclusion and equality objectives. Request to add - explicit provisions for alternative-data-based credit features consistent with RBI's Fair Lending Practices Code (2021) and Responsible AI in Lending Advisory (2023). Request to Clarify - (a) Whether NABARD expects a single integrated solution from inception or would accept a modular, phased deployment approach; (b) Whether NABARD will facilitate access to FPO data required for developing the Lending Assessment Score model; and 	Points 1, 3, 4 - Details will be shared with the	
	Section 6 Digital Enablers for Farmer Producer Organizations	,	empanelled bidders at the RFQ stage. Point 2 - No Change	
	Section 6 Digital Enablers for Farmer Producer Organizations	Request to Clarify 1. Please clarify if the FPO Lending Assessment Score will link with external credit bureaus (ClBlL/Equifax) or be NABARD's proprietary scoring engine. 2. Is NABARD looking for multilingual mobile apps for FPO members or only a webbased dashboard for management? 3. Will NABARD provide hosting infrastructure for the digital platform or should bidders propose a managed service model? Request to Clarify 1. We have the technical expertise to deliver digital enablers for FPOs. Can we participate in	Details will be shared with the empanelled bidders at the RFQ stage.	
	Section 6 Digital Enablers for Farmer Producer Organizations	the empanelment process limited to this scope? 2. For the proposed solution, is it mandatory to cover all the listed modules, or can bidders participate for selected modules?	Entities with capabilities may apply under specific domains	

			Request to Clarify - Whether NABARD encourages adoption of World Bank or OECD-compliant metadata standards and FAIR data principles (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable) to ensure interoperability and transparency across projects.	
			2. Request to Add - A Data Governance Annexure referencing ISO 19115/19139 and OECD FAIR principles to harmonise with the Digital Public Infrastructure for Development Framework (2023). Remarks of the Bidder: Ensures long term reusability and compliance with India's National Data Governance Policy 2023.	
			3. Request to Clarify - whether NABARD expects development of a completely new traceability platform or would allow integration of existing traceability products through secure APIs and/or distributed ledger technologies.	
			4. Request to Clarify - In the Traceability-as-a-Service domain, will NABARD provide existing datasets/APIs or should bidders develop the entire digital infrastructure?	Points 1, 3, 4, 5 - Details will be shared with
				the empanelled bidders at the RFQ stage.
		Section 6 Traceability as a Service	5. Request to Clarify - What are the mandated technical standards (e.g., GS1, EPCIS, ISO 22000 series) that the DPI must adhere to for data exchange and interoperability?	Point 2 - No Change
-	4	Traceability as a Service	22000 series) that the DPI must adhere to for data exchange and interoperability?	Point 2 - No Change
			Request to Clarify 1. What are the minimum mandatory data attributes/milestones required to be captured at each stage for the four specified product categories (Agri, Poultry, Animal Husbandry, Dairy)? 2. Is NABARD looking for a blockchain-based solution or a centralized digital public infrastructure framework interoperable with ONDC or Agristack? 2l Please confirm whether the solution is expected to integrate with existing FPO, APEDA or eNAM datasets. 3. What level of scalability (number of farmers or transactions per day) should be considered for design estimation? 4. Please confirm that Domain #2 explicitly covers GI & niche agri products and extends to poultry, animal husbandry and dairy with end-to-end visibility (inputs → consumer). 5. Kindly confirm acceptability of a permissioned blockchain where PII stays off-chain and	
		Section 6	only hashes/metadata are on-chain to satisfy DPDP while preserving auditability; any	Details will be shared with the empanelled
		Traceability as a Service	restrictions on use of public vs permissioned networks?	bidders at the RFQ stage.
			 Request to Clarify: Does NABARD expect an end-to-end hardware—software solution or mainly analytics and visualization platforms Request to Clarify: 1) Please clarify the expected extent of drone operations - is NABARD seeking end-to-end Drone Data Capture + Al Analytics, or only software analytics layersintegrating with third-party drone feeds? Will NABARD or the empanelled partner obtain DGCA permissions and flight compliances? Whether historical project data or APIs from IDF/LTIF will be provided for training 	
		Section 6	Al/ML models?	
		Geospatial Intelligence & Drone based Project Monitoring	4) Please specify if NABARD expects onpremise hosting of drone data and GIS layers or if cloud deployment under NABARD's approved policy is acceptable	Points 1, 2 - Details will be shared with the empanelled bidders at the RFQ stage.

	g	T	
	Section 6	May consortiums or strategic partnerships be permitted for specialized domains (e.g., Drone	
	Geospatial Intelligence & Drone based Project Monitoring	Monitoring + Al/ML Analytics + GIS integration) if clearly demonstrating value addition	Not Applicable
7	Section 6		Not Applicable
		Dt Clarif. 147	
	A tentative detailed Scope of Work for above domains is attached as	Request to Clarify - We are unable to locate the Annexure F mentioned, either in the RFP or	
		portal. Please provide the details for our reference.	
8	an Appendix F.		Please refer to the Corrigendum 2 of the RFE
		Eligibility Criteria	
	Clause 4.2.4 – Eligibility Criteria		
	The entity should be using new / emerging / next		
	generation technologies including, but not limited to,		
	Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Natural		
	Language Processing, Robotic Process Automation,	Request to Clarify - Whether NABARD will include Responsible-AI, model explainability,	
	Blockchain, Digital Currencies and Tokens, Internet of	and bias-testing parameters in the technical evaluation matrix beyond general AI/ML	
	Things, Big Data, Predictive Analytics, Cognitive	capability. Suggestion: Introduce a sub-criterion titled Ethical AI and Model Transparency	
	Analytics, Nextgen Encryption and Cryptography and	referencing MeitY's AI Ethics Guidelines (2023) and RBI's Responsible AI Framework for	Details will be shared with the empanelled
	Digital Marketing	Digital Lending.	bidders at the RFQ stage.
	Clause 4.1.1.2 - Eligibility Criteria for Start Ups		
	Turnover of the entity for any of the inancial years since		
	incorporation / registration has not exceeded one		Please refer to RFE section 4 (Eligibility
	hundred crore rupees.	Request to Clarify - Is there any minimum turnover criteria/revenue	Criteria)
	Clause 4.2 - Separate eligibility defined for Start-ups	Request to Clarify - If a company is DPIIT-recognized as a startup but also meets FinTech	Please refer to RFE section 4 (Eligibility
	and FinTech/Digital Capability Partners	eligibility (net worth > ₹1 crore), under which category should it apply?	Criteria)
	, 0		,
	Clause 4.9.6 The entity should be willing to put in		
	Clause 4.2.6 - The entity should be willing to put in		
	escrow the source code, logic, protocols, artefact,		
	design, architecture, code binaries, complete technical	Request to Clarify -	
	solution documentation of the product / software /	1) Does it Mandatory to create an Escrow Account. Who will bear the cost of maintaining it.	
		2) Does the department will give us the name of Agencies with whom we have to create the	
	software and any other related items, necessary to run	Escrow account	
	the application, as per the escrow arrangement with		
	NABARD at the beginning of the engagement and the	Request to Clarify -	
	escrow agreement will include subsequent	Kindly confirm the escrow scope: base platform + customizations + subsequent	Details will be shared with the empanelled
	enhancements as well.	enhancements; and whether escrow is per project or once at empanelment.	bidders at the RFQ stage.
	Clause 4.1.1.1 - Up to a period of ten years from the date		
	of incorporation / registration, if it is		
	incorporated as a private limited company	Request to Clarify -	
	(as defined in the Companies Act, 2013) or		
	registered as a partnership firm (registered	We are a 14-year-old company and a leading provider of BFSI solutions. Please confirm if we	
	under section 59 of the Partnership Act,	are eligible to participate in the empanelment process	
	1932) or a limited liability partnership	despite exceeding the tenyear incorporation limit	
	(under the Limited Liability Partnership		Please refer to RFE clause 4 (Eligibility
	Act, 2008) in India.		Criteria)
	, -,	I	ornora)

_	T		T	
	Internet of Things, Big Data, Predictive Analytics, Cognitive Analytics, Nextgen Encryption and Cryptography and Digital Marketing.	Request to clarify - Whether live demonstrations of these technologies are required at the empanelment stage or if submission of architectural and capability documents will suffice.	Presentation showcasing the Solution and Architecture Documents are desired.	
	Clause 4.2.10 - Entities having/had association with Regulatory Sandboxes of RBI, IRDAI and	Request to Clarify - Whether this is a mandatory requirement or a preference-based	Please refer to the RFE clause 4.2.10	
	other bodies would be given preference.	criterion.	(Eligibility Criteria)	
		Evaluation Process and Criteria		
	Clause 5.1 - NABARD will scrutinize the bid applications to determine whether they are complete, whether any errors have been made in the offer, whether required eligibility and technical documentation has been furnished, whether the documents have been properly signed, and whether items are quoted as per the schedule. NABARD may, at its discretion, waive any minor infirmity, non-conformity, or irregularity in a Bid, which does not constitute a material deviation	 Request to Clarify - Whether NABARD's evaluation matrix includes Innovation Readiness, Responsible-AI Compliance and ESG Impact in addition to financial and technical criteria. Request to Add - Introduce an Innovation and Ethical-AI Index (20 % weightage) to assess sustainability, AI ethics, and open-data governance, consistent with Rule 173 of the General Financial Rules (GFR 2017) encouraging the Quality-cum-Cost-Based Selection (QCBS) methodology for value-based procurement. 	Details will be shared with the empanelled bidders at the RFQ stage.	
	Clause 5.2 - NABARD may, in its sole and absolute discretion, apply whatever criteria it deems appropriate in the selection of bidder, not limited to those selection criteria set out in this RFE document.	1. Request to delete	Please refer to the Corrigendum 2 of the RFE	
18	Clause 5.6 - The bidders are required to score at least 50% of the total score in each criteria (General and Domain Specific). However, NABARD at its discretion may modify the cut-off scores for one or more criteria. Clause 5.7 - Top Scoring Bidders will be selected for empanelment, as per discretion of NABARD. However, the number of empanelled bidders for each domain may vary (less or more) at the discretion of the NABARD.	Request to Modify - The bidders are required to score at least 50% of the total score in General and Domain Specific Criteria . Request to Modify - All the bidders who have scored at least 50% of the total score will be selected for empanelment Request to Clarify - How many top-scoring bidders will be selected for empanelment under each category? Kindly specify.	Please refer to the Corrigendum 2 of the RFE Please refer to the Corrigendum 2 of the RFE	
	Clause 5.7 - Top Scoring Bidders will be selected for empanelment, as per discretion of NABARD. However, the number of empanelled bidders for each domain may vary (less or more) at the discretion of the NABARD.	Request to Clarify - We understand that the evaluation criteria as per the annexure A will not be applicable for startups, in this case we request you to clarify how the evaluation for startups will be carried out and how many startups will be empanelled We understand that the evaluation criteria as per the annexure A will not be applicable for	Please refer to RFE section 5 (Evaluation Process and Criteria)	
6.	Section = (Evaluation Cuitaria)	startups. Only the points of Annexure B: 1A to 1C will be applicable and used for evaluation of startups. Request you to kindly confirm.	Please refer to RFE section 5 (Evaluation Process and Criteria)	
	Section 5 (Evaluation Criteria) No. of Project Implementations under similar domains in India	Considering the definition provided on the online portal, we understand that any ERP implementation or projects involving Development, Customization, and Integration of Digital Solutions as per RFE definition, shall be considered for the "Number of Project Implementations" criteria. Request you to kindly confirm. Annexures	Please refer to RFE section 5 (Evaluation Process and Criteria)	
L	Amexures			

23	Annexure - C Non Disclosure Agreement "all intellectual property and proprietary rights in the Confidential Information shall remain with NABARD." "Upon written demand the Receiving Party shall returnall the copies within seven (7) days"	 Request to Clarify - Whether contributors of curated metadata frameworks, data dictionaries, or feature-engineering schemas developed for NABARD projects retain moral rights and citation attribution as recognised under the Copyright Act 1957 (Sections 57 & 63) and the Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023. Request to Add - Permit a supplementary Intellectual Property and Metadata Confidentiality Agreement (IMCA) acknowledging creators' moral rights and enabling joint IP / co-authorship recognition for metadata schemas, while retaining NABARD's ownership of funded deliverables and Confidential Information. 	Details will be shared with the empanelled bidders at the RFQ stage.
	Annexure - C Non Disclosure Agreement "The Buyer intends to award, under laid down organizational procedures, contract/s for"	Request to Clarify - Whether we have to mention the category which we will be applying or the RFE Title	Yes, RFE Title
25	Annexure A Evaluation Criteria	Request to Add - We request that some relaxation be granted to Startups for meeting this clause, so that we may be considered eligible for participation.	No change
26	Annexure A (Evaluation Criteria) 1. Number of years of operation in India as on date of RFE Publication 1-2: 5 Marks 3-5: 7 Marks >5: 10 Marks	1. Request to Modify the marking pattern as below: 1-2: 5 Marks >2-4: 7 Marks >4: 10 Marks 2. We seek a clarification regarding the section where "Number of Years in Operation" has been mentioned. In the markings, it appears that this parameter may have been clubbed or duplicated with the "Number of Projects" field. Kindly confirm whether these are to be provided as separate entries or if they are intended to be combined under a single criterion.	Please refer to the Corrigendum 2 of the RFE
27	Annexure A (Evaluation Criteria) 2. Turnover as at the end of Financial Year 2024-25 1 to 25: 5 Marks 25 to 100: 7 Marks >100: 10 Marks	1. Request to Modify the marking pattern as below: 1 to 20: 5 Marks >20 to 40: 7 Marks >40: 10 Marks 2. As per the eligibility criteria, it is stated that firms with a turnover exceeding ₹100 crore are not eligible to participate. However, in the marking section, we noticed that marks have been allocated to bidders having turnover above ₹100 crore as well. We request you to kindly confirm the applicable interpretation whether firms exceeding ₹100 crore turnover are eligible for evaluation and, if possible, consider relaxing or revising the marking scheme to maintain consistency and provide a level playing field among all potential participants	Please refer to the Corrigendum 2 of the RFE

	T		1
28	Annexure A (Evaluation Criteria) 3. Turnover as at the end of Financial Year 2024-25 Up to 100: 5 Marks 100 to 500: 7 Marks >500: 10 Marks	1. Request to Modify the marking pattern as below: Up to 10: 5 Marks 10 - 20: 7 Marks >20: 10 Marks	Please refer to the Corrigendum 2 of the RFE
29	Annexure A (Evaluation Criteria) 4. No. of active empanelment with BFSI for Digital Solution Projects Number 1-2: 5 Marks 3-5: 7 Marks >5: 10 Marks	Request to Modify the marking pattern as below: 1-3: 5 Marks >4: 10 Marks We request you to kindly consider relaxing this criterion. Instead of limiting eligibility strictly to the BFSI sector, we request that empanelment with any Government or PSU organisation for Digital (IT) Solutions may also be considered. This will broaden participation from the capable bidders without any restrictions	Please refer to the Corrigendum 2 of the RFE
30	Annexure A (Evaluation Criteria) 2. No. of Project Implementations under similar domains outside India Number 1-2: 5 Marks 3-5: 7 Marks >5: 10 Marks Annexure A (Evaluation Criteria) 3. Certifications and Special Recognitions No. of Certification 1-3: 5 Marks 4-5: 7 Marks >5: 10 Marks	 Request to delete The currently defined eligibility criteria are restrictive and may limit participation from capable bidders who have extensive experience in delivering complex and high-value projects within India. Several such bidders are fully competent to execute the scope of this assignment as per present requirements, despite not having implemented similar solutions outside India. Therefore, we request you to kindly reconsider the criteria and evaluate bidder capabilities based on the number of projects executed, project value, and complexity of the solutions implemented, rather than restricting eligibility to those with international implementations. You may modify the clause below: Solution has implemented with value, if 1 Cr within India – 3 Marks. 2 Cr within India – 6 Marks 3 Cr within India – 10 Marks. Do pilot/PoC implementations count toward 'similar implementations', or only paid production rollouts? What evidence will be accepted (user counts, SLA/uptime, client confirmation letters)? 	Please refer to the Corrigendum 2 of the RFE
31	>5: 10 Marks Annexure A (Evaluation Criteria) 4. Domain professionals on payroll Number Upto 25: 5 Marks 25 to 50: 7 Marks >50: 10 Marks	1. Request to Modify the marking pattern as below: Up to 5: 5 Marks 5 - 10: 7 Marks >10: 10 Marks	Please refer to the Corrigendum 2 of the RFE Please refer to the Corrigendum 2 of the RFE
	Annexure A (Evaluation Criteria)	Request to Clarify - Documents needs to be submitted for applying under FinTechs and Digital Capability Partners	Please refer to the Corrigendum 2 of the RFE

	A.1 Bidders must score at least 50% in both general and	Is it mandatory to score at least 50% in both general and domain-specific evaluation, or can		
34	domain-specific evaluation criteria.	overall merit be considered?	Please refer to the Corrigendum 2 of the RFI	
	Certifications and Special Recognitions (e.g., ISO 9001:2015,ISO 14001:2015, ISO 45001:2018,ISO 27001:2013, CMMI, COPC OSP, InternationalStandard on Assurance			
	Engagements (ISAE) 3402, Security Organization Controls (SOC) 1 & 2Type II, HITRUST, EU GDPR Compliant etc.)	We understand that other certification like ISO 20000-1 and CMMI Level 3 for Services, in addition to CMMI Level 3 for Development, are relevant and important industry-standard certifications for software solution implementation and its operations. In view of this, we		
35	Note: Bidder may submit certification pertaining to their field/solution for which they are applying	request confirmation that these certifications will also be considered for marking/score allocation under this criterion, please confirm.	Please refer to the Corrigendum 2 of the RFF	
36	Annexure D : (Statutory Auditor of the Bidder's Certificate) (On letterhead of the Chartered Accountant's firm)	We understand that a certificate issued either by a Statutory Auditor or a Chartered Accountant would be required to meet this eligibility requirement, please confirm.	Yes. Please refer to Annexure D of the RFE.	
37	Note: The detailed Balance sheet of the Bidder shall be verified by NABARD.	We understand that the Audited Balance Sheet and Profit & Loss Statement, certified by a Chartered Accountant or Statutory Auditor, should be submitted as part of the RFE proposal, please confirm	Yes. Please refer to Annexure D of the RFE.	
3/	Number of projects - Marks 1-2 Project - 5 Marks 3-5 Project - 7 Marks	We also request consideration for startups that may not yet have executed projects outside India but have demonstrated strong technological capabilities and innovation potential. A relaxation or alternative marking provision for such startups would greatly encourage wider participation and support the	Total Teles to militarity by the MTE.	
38	>5 Projects – 10 Marks	government's vision of promoting indigenous innovation.	Please refer to the Corrigendum 2 of the RFE	
	1 0 0 111 1 11 11	General Queries	12 react refer to the corrigendum 2 of the RCE	
		Objective - Kindly clarify whether NABARD expects empanelled Fintechs to provide end to end payment solutions (design, development and intergration and operations) or only		
39	General	platform integration with NABARD's existing systems Please specify the approximate number of size and projects expected annually under the	Not Applicable	
40	General	Payments, Collections and Disbursements domain	Not Applicable	
41	General	Will NABARD specify preffered payment modes, or all of them?	Not Applicable	
42	General	What will be the minimum qualifying score for empanelment?	Not Applicable	
43	General	Can Razorpay apply exclusively under Payments, Collections and Disbursements domain or is multi domain application mandatory?	Not Applicable	
44	General	Are there any System Integrations(Custom API, ERP, CRM) required for a PA/PG to support payment collections, disbursements etc.?	Not Applicable	
45	General	While we understand that this is an RFE, will NABARD be open to explore other ancillary products of Razorpay, apart from Payment Gateway? Such as: Whatsapp payments etc.	Not Applicable	
46	General	In the scope of this empanelment which all processes and departments, collection systems will be working with the PA/PGs?	Not Applicable	
47	General	Please confirm the following: We meet the criteria specified in Annexure-B for the Startups Category. However, we do not meet certain requirements listed in Annexure-A, such as BFSI empanelment and domain-specific work orders. In this context, kindly clarify whether we are eligible to participate in the tender.	Please refer to RFE clause 4 (Eligibility Criteria)	
48	General	Requesting to extend the bid submission date	No Change	
	Tender Fee			
49	Section 11 (Tender Fee) Start-ups registered under DPIIT are exempt from tender fee subject to conditions.	Request to Clarify - Whether a compnay incorporated under DPIIT and 5 years old will be eligible for exemption	Please refer to RFE Section 11 (Tender Fee)	
		• • •		

	Section 11 (Tender Fee) Micro & Small Enterprises (MSE) units and Start-up* are exempted from payment of tender fee provided the Services they are offering, are rendered by them. Exemption as stated above is not applicable for providing services, rendered by other sister concern/ third party companies. *Note: Start-ups which are not under the category of MSE shall not be eligible for exemption of	Request to Modify - We formally request to consider extending tender fee exemption to DPIIT-recognised Start-ups in alignment with the Government's Start-up Procurement	N. Ol
50	tender fee. Section 11 (Tender Fee) Bidders participating in the RFE is required to submit a non-refundable fee of ₹10,000+ GST@18% (Rupees Ten Thousand only + GST) through NEFT, at the time of submission of the bid, failing which the bid of the concerned bidder will be rejected. The bidder must provide the UTR no. generated after the NEFT along with the bid documents, failing which the bid of the concerned bidder may not be accepted.	Request to Clarify - Whether an MSME-registered entity is eligible for a waiver of the tender fee	No Change
51	· · ·		Please refer to RFE Section 11 (Tender Fee)
52	Section 10 (Earnest Money Deposit) and 11 (Tender Fee) No EMD for RFE; Tender Fee ₹10,000 + GST payable via NEFT.		Please refer to the RFE Section 9 (Post Empanelment Enagagements)
		General Terms and Conditions	
53	Clause 13.4 - Bidder shall undertake to comply NABARD's (i) IT Policy, (ii) Cloud Policy (iii) Information Security Policy (iv) Cyber Security Policy, along with all regulatory guidelines as applicable time to time.		Details will be shared with the empanelled bidders at the RFQ stage.
54	Clause 13.11 - A bidder can apply for more than one group. Any number of FinTech companies can be empanelled for any group.		 Not Applicable Please refer to the Corrigendum 2 of the RFE

	T		T
		1. Request to Clarify - The condition requests the bidder to apply for the specific category, however the RFP is silent regarding where to specify the applying category, like any covering	
		letter or form to be submitted. Further it is not clearly mentioned how the evaluation will be	
		conducted if the bidder has submitted the bid for multiple categories	
		2. Request to Clarify - We understand that FinTechs, Digital Capability Partners (DCPs), and	!
		Startups are category of RFE and bidder may participate in any one or all of the above categories who have solution for Geospatial Intelligence & Drone-based Project Monitoring,	
		Traceability as a Service, and Digital Enablement for FPO. Please confirm our	
	Clause 13.8 - Bidders can apply for empanelment in any number of categories depending on the experience of	understanding.	
	the company as per categories mentioned in section 6 (Scope of work) of the RFE.	3. Request to Clarify - Can a single entity apply under multiple domains (e.g., Geospatial, Traceability, and Digital Enablement for FPOs) within the same empanelment application?	Please refer to the RFE clauses 13.8 and 13.11
- 55	(Scope of work) of the KPE.	Post Empanelment Engagements	15.11
56	Section 9 - Post Empanelment Engagements	Request to Clarify - Will NABARD offer empanelled vendors an opportunity to demonstrate capabilities through Proof-of-Concepts (PoCs) before specific RFQs are issued?	Details will be shared with the empanelled bidders at the RFQ stage.
9.0			The state of the s
		Reuest to Clarify - Closed RFQs will be invited from empanelled vendors as per NABARD's	Domain specific RFQ will be floated - Please
57	Section 9 - Post Empanelment Engagements	requirements. After empanelment, how frequently will NABARD float closed RFQs, and will these be domain-specific or consolidated for digital initiatives?	refer the RFE section 9 (Post Empanelment Engagements)
			!
	Section 9 - Post Empanelment Engagements NABARD at its discretion may invite Technical-cum		
	Commercial/Commercial bids from the empanelled		
	vendors only as and when based on their fulfilment of		
	the requirement of NABARD during the period of empanelment. Empanelled Bidders will be able to		
	participate and submit commercials quotes along with	Request to Clarify - We request confirmation whether all empanelled Start-ups will be	
	technical expertise details called through closed RFQ	eligible to participate in future limited/closed RFQs in their respective domain of	Please refer the RFE section 9 (Post
58	process as per the requirements of NABARD.	empanelment, subject to technical competence. Term of Empanelment	Empanelment Engagements)
	Section 8 (Term of Empanelment)	Term of Empanement	
	NABARD may decide to empanelfresh set of entities any		
	time as per its requirements to add new FinTech /	Request to Clarify - ls NABARD open to empaneling entities for multiple domains through a	
59	Digital Partners in its pool.	single submission, or must independent applications be filed per domain?	submitted